

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/675,637	09/675,637 09/29/2000		Kenji Yamanishi	13931	1719		
23389	7590	10/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SCULLY S 400 GARDE		IURPHY & PRES PLAZA	SHARON	SHARON, AYAL I			
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
GARDEN C	ITY. NY	11530	2123	<u> </u>			

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/675,637	YAMANISHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ayal I. Sharon	2123		

Before the	Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ayal I. Sharon	2123	
The MAI	LING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED	21 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
this application places the app	filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on a, applicant must timely file one of the follow lication in condition for allowance; (2) a No Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period no event, he Examiner N	for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A owever, will the statutory period for reply expire leate: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ITHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may have been filed is the cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) set forth in (b) above, it	be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date late for purposes of determining the period of exscalculated from: (1) the expiration date of the stoked. Any reply received by the Office later patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origr than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
filing the Notice	Appeal was filed on A brief in competer of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterpoeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
(a) 🔀 They rais	amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, se new issues that would require further co se the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
appeal;	not deemed to place the application in bet and/or sent additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
	See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		, oo to a oranio.	
4. 🗌 The amendme	nts are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. 🔲 Newly propos	oly has overcome the following rejection(s) ed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
how the new o	of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ramended claims would be rejected is provine claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: ed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	III be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) reject Claim(s) withdi	ed: <u>1-16</u> . awn from consideration:			
because applic	ER EVIDENCE other evidence filed after a final action, butter to be any action, butter to be a showing of good any presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
entered because showing a good	other evidence filed after the date of filing se the affidavit or other evidence failed to od and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR REC	or other evidence is entered. An explanation CONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for	or reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attaction 13. Other:	hed Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		7
			PAUL RODRIGUE	Z 10/12/02
		รูปเ	PERVISORY PATENT E	XAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/675,637

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended limitations "said probability ... being used to detect ..." indicates an intended use, but does not further limit the claim, because it does not necessarily have to be performed. The passive phrase "being used to detect" does not correspond to the active verb "detecting", because with the limitation "being used to detect" (unlike the limitation "detecting"), it is possible that nothing is ever detected. This scenario would have no concrete, useful, tangible result.

Moreover, the newly-amended claims do not describe what the output or output device are, and neither does the specification. This raises issues of enablement and indefinateness. .